

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 67789-377	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/AUS2005/01466	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 April 2005 (05.04.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08 April 2004 (08.04.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant CEDARS-SINAI MEDICAL CENTER			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.
- In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except when the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).

Date of issuance of this report
11 October 2006 (11.10.2006)

Authorized officer:

Dorothee Mülhausen

e-mail: pft1@wipo.int

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No. (+41) 22 538 82 70

Form PCT/HB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
SETH D. LEVY
SUITE 2400
565 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017-2366

PCT

REC'D 09 JAN 2005

WIPO

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		Due of mailing (day/month/year) 29 DEC 2005	
67789-377		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US05/11466	05 April 2003 (05.04.2003)	06 April 2004 (06.04.2004)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
IPC(7): A01N 43/04; C12N 15/00 and US Cl.: 514/44; 435/320.1			
Applicant			
CEDARS-SINAI MEDICAL CENTER			

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Communication for Patents P.O. Box 3450 Alexandria, Virginia 22315-1450	Date of completion of this opinion 12 December 2003 (12.12.2003)	Authorized officer Jae L. Eppert-Ford <i>J. Roberts for</i> Telephone No. (571) 272-0500
--	--	---

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US25/11466

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
 - ☐ a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
- a. type of material
 - ☐ a sequence listing
 - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 - ☐ on paper
 - ☐ in electronic form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 - ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
 - ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
 - ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/11466

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a) (i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 2, 4-7, 9, 14, 18, 28, 32-34	YES
	Claims 1, 3, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 19-27, 29-31, 33	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 2, 4-7, 9, 14, 18, 28, 32-34	YES
	Claims 1, 3, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 19-27, 29-31, 33	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-35	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 3, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 19-27, 29-31, and 33 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Pastore et al.

Pastore et al. teach helper-dependent adenoviral vector-mediated long-term expression of apolipoprotein A1, wherein expression of human Apo A1 reduces atherosclerosis in an apo E-deficient mouse.

Claims 1, 3, 8, 10-13, 15-17, 19-27, 29-31, and 33 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Pastore et al. for the reasons set forth above.

Claims 1-35 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.